

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABBREVIATIONS .....	13
PROLOGUE: INTRODUCTION, UNDERLYING PREMISES, AND ASSUMPTIONS .....	15

### Part One

#### THE THEORY BEHIND SUITABILITY

Chapter 1. DEFINING TERMINOLOGY AND IMPORTANT CONCEPTS .....	23
SECTION ONE: THE CANONICAL FIGURE OF THE ‘ECCLESIASTICAL OFFICE’ .....	24
1.1 Distinguishing ecclesiastical office, ministry and function ( <i>munus</i> ) .....	27
1.2 Constitutive elements of ecclesiastical offices .....	32
1.3 Qualities required in candidates to office according to canon 149§1 .....	33
SECTION TWO: PREREQUISITE CONDITIONS SINE NON QUA SUITABILITY CANNOT EXIST .....	34
1.4 Capacity, Ability, Legitimization .....	34
1.5 Canon 205: Prerequisites for being “in the communion of the Church” - faith, Sacraments and hierarchy .....	38
SECTION THREE: VARIOUS ASPECTS OF SUITABILITY .....	41
1.6 Acting ‘ <i>nomine Ecclesiae</i> ’ .....	41
1.7 Moral suitability and Canonical suitability .....	45
1.8 Reputation .....	48
1.8.1 Infamy and <i>bona et integra fama</i> .....	48
1.8.2 Scandal .....	52
1.9 <i>Christifidelis</i> : Suitability and the Canonical State. Laity, Religious, and Clergy .....	56

<b>Chapter 2. THE HISTORY OF SUITABILITY THROUGH THE LENS OF CANON 149 .....</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>SECTION ONE: REMOTE LEGISLATIVE SOURCES .....</b>	<b>61</b>
2.1 The Ecumenical Councils' perspectives and pronouncements on suitability .....	62
2.1.1 Councils of the First Fifteen Centuries .....	63
2.1.2 The Council of Trent .....	65
2.1.3 The Vatican Councils .....	67
2.2 The Influence of the Ecumenical Councils on Suitability in the 1917 Code of Canon Law .....	72
<b>SECTION TWO: PROXIMATE LEGISLATIVE SOURCES .....</b>	<b>83</b>
2.3 Code of Canon Law 1917 .....	83
2.4 Suitability in Vatican Council II .....	88
<b>SECTION THREE: IMMEDIATE AND CONCURRENT LEGISLATIVE SOURCES .....</b>	<b>93</b>
2.5 History of the formulation of canon 149 .....	93
2.6 Current formulation: Exegesis of canon 149§1 .....	99
2.7 Qualified, cooperating, capable: How do these concepts affect suitability? .....	102
2.8 Corresponding Canons in the Code of Canons of the Eastern Churches .....	105

## Part Two

### SUITABILITY IN PRACTICE

<b>Chapter 3. STUDY OF THE CONCEPT OF SUITABILITY IN UNIVERSAL LAW .....</b>	<b>111</b>
<b>SECTION ONE: SUITABILITY IN OTHER PLACES AND SITUATIONS IN THE 1983 CODE OF CANON LAW .....</b>	<b>113</b>
3.1 Instances of suitability ( <i>idoneus</i> and <i>idoneitas</i> ) in the 1983 Code of Canon Law that do not refer to a specific office .....	113
3.2 Suitability requirements for situations with parallels to ecclesiastical offices .....	121
3.2.1 Admission to Holy Orders .....	121
3.2.2 Admission to Consecrated Life .....	133
<b>SECTION TWO: SUITABILITY REQUIREMENTS ACCORDING TO SPECIFIC OFFICES</b>	<b>140</b>
3.3 The Capital Offices: .....	140
3.3.1 Pope.....	140
3.3.2 Cardinals c. 351§1 .....	145
3.3.3 Bishops: .....	146
3.4 Diocesan Chancery: .....	151
3.4.1 Judicial Officers: .....	151

3.4.2	Episcopal Vicar, Vicar General c. 478 .....	166
3.4.3	Diocesan Finance Officer .....	167
3.5	Educational Offices: Rectors, Professors, and Professors of Religion at Catholic and ecclesiastical universities .....	170
	Introduction.....	170
3.5.1	Rectors at Institutions of Higher Education .....	173
3.5.2	Educators at Catholic Institutions .....	175
3.6	Offices with Care of Souls: .....	189
3.6.1	Pastorate .....	189
3.6.2	Rectors, Chaplains, and Vicars Forane .....	193
Chapter 4.	SUITABILITY FOR AN ECCLESIASTICAL OFFICE IN PARTICULAR/LOCAL LAW .....	209
SECTION ONE: IMPORTANT GENERAL, PLENARY, AND PARTICULAR COUNCILS' PRONOUNCEMENTS ON SUITABILITY .....	209	
4.1	Local Councils of the First Millennium .....	210
4.2	The Plenary Councils of Baltimore .....	215
4.2.1	First Plenary Council of Baltimore: May 1852 .....	217
4.2.2	Second Plenary Council of Baltimore: October 1866 .....	219
4.2.3	Third Plenary Council of Baltimore: November - December 1884 .....	224
SECTION TWO: UNITED STATES LEGISLATION .....	227	
4.3	National Level Canonical Legislation .....	227
4.4	Co-Workers in the Vineyard of the Lord .....	232
SECTION THREE: THE ARCHDIOCESE OF SAINT PAUL & MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA: DIOCESAN-LEVEL POLICIES .....	240	
4.5	The "Essential 3" Requirements .....	244
4.5.1	Codes of Conduct: .....	245
4.5.2	Virtus® Child Protection Training & Sexual Abuse of Minors Policy .....	251
4.5.3	Criminal Background Check .....	259
4.6	Handbooks .....	261
4.7	Review Boards .....	272
4.8	Official Parish Participation by the Laity .....	276
4.9	Supra-Parish Leadership by Priests .....	281
4.10	Supplementary Lay Functions .....	282
4.11	Suitability of Clergy .....	286
CONCLUSIONS: .....	291	
I.	Holy Orders is not the prerequisite to suitability for ecclesiastical offices in general .....	292
II.	Suitability ( <i>per se</i> ) .....	292
III.	Communion, <i>nomine Ecclesiae</i> , moral and canonical suitability .....	293
IV.	Infamy, unsuitability, and scandal .....	294

V.	Suitability requires preparation, professionalism and just remuneration .....	296
VI.	Evaluation of suitability .....	296
VII.	Lessons on suitability drawn from analogous situations .....	298
VIII.	Simony, benefices, free conferral and suitability .....	298
IX.	Code of Canons of the Eastern Churches parallels to c. 149 of the Code of Canon Law .....	299
X.	Suitability in the Ecumenical Councils and their influence on the Codes .....	300
XI.	Suitability in local councils and their influence on the Law ....	301
XII.	Suitability for specific ecclesiastical offices .....	303
XIII.	Local level legislation .....	306
XIV.	Laity and suitability .....	307
XV.	Sexual abuse response: A case study in loss of suitability .....	307
XVI.	Unresolved Doctrine .....	309
XVII.	Novelties proposed .....	310
XVIII.	Solutions proposed .....	312
XIX.	Proposals for future investigations & lines of inquiry .....	313
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY:</b> .....		315
Primary Sources: .....		315
Autors: .....		320